wo

## **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

## DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

	V.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	Jorge Garcia-Franco	Case Number: <u>09-6220M</u>
was represen		C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing was held on 5/22/09. Defendant was present and conderance of the evidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the detention of
I find by a pre	eponderance of the evidence that:	FINDINGS OF FACT
×	The defendant is not a citizen of	the United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.
×	The defendant, at the time of the	e charged offense, was in the United States illegally.
×	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.	
	The defendant has no significant	t contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.	
$\boxtimes$	The defendant has a prior crimin	al history.
	The defendant lives/works in Me	exico.
	The defendant is an amnesty a substantial family ties to Mexico.	pplicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has
	There is a record of prior failure	to appear in court as ordered.
	The defendant attempted to evac	de law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.
	The defendant is facing a maxim	num of years imprisonment.
The ( at the time of	Court incorporates by reference the rethe hearing in this matter, except a	material findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court s noted in the record.  CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
1. 2.	DIRE	conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.
a corrections appeal. The of of the United	facility separate, to the extent practic defendant shall be afforded a reason States or on request of an attorney f the United States Marshal for the pu	dy of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in cable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending hable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a counfor the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the urpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.
IT IS deliver a copy Court.	ORDERED that should an appeal or of the motion for review/reconsider	of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to reation to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District
IT IS Services suff	FURTHER ORDERED that if a releation in advance of the hearing be potential third party custodian.	ase to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial efore the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and
DAT	TED this 26 <sup>th</sup> day of May, 20	009.
		David K. Duncan
		United States Magistrate Judge